

Program-Level Assessment: Annual Report

Program Name (no acronyms): Masters in Criminology & Department: Criminology & Criminal Justice

Criminal Justice

Degree or Certificate Level: MA College/School: School of Social Work

Date (Month/Year): Sept 2023 Assessment Contact: Dyan McGuire

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2022/23

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2020

Is this program accredited by an external program/disciplinary/specialized accrediting organization or subject to state/licensure requirements? No

If yes, please share how this affects the program's assessment process (e.g., number of learning outcomes assessed, mandated exams or other assessment methods, schedule or timing of assessment, etc.):

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please provide the complete list of the program's learning outcome statements and **bold** the SLOs assessed in this cycle.)

We will review the following SLOs: 1-2

#1 Students will assess relevant criminology literature/scholarly contributions.

#2 Students will apply CCJ theories, practices, policies, or research methodologies.

2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning

Which artifacts of student learning were used to determine if students achieved the outcome(s)? Please describe the artifacts in detail, identify the course(s) in which they were collected, and if they are from program majors/graduates and/or other students. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.

Our program has experienced some changes in personnel that have adversely affected our ability to complete this assessment report. Our program coordinator, program director (I am the new one) and one of our faculty members who taught CCJ 5000 all left at the last semester. The departing faculty member left the assessment data but unfortunately he did not use all the right rubrics called for in our plan and he did not leave any exemplary artifacts (assignments or sample test questions). I was unable to find any data related to CCJ 5200 Research Methods. Our former program director thinks it may have slipped his mind to request assessment materials since the class wasn't being taught by a CCJ faculty member. The instructor for CCJ 5200 last Fall was an adjunct and the email I have on file for him is no longer valid. I do not believe Research Methods was assessed. Fortunately, SLOs 1 and 2 overlap significantly and are both assessed using rubric 3 so despite the significant data deficits we can still glean some useful information in terms of assessment. If data from CCJ 5200 is located I will file an amendment to this report. We have exit survey data from 3 graduates from 2022 (our program is small). The exit survey was voluntary and self-administered. The survey is in the appendix.

3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

March 2022

What process was used to evaluate the artifacts of student learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and **include them in/with this report document** (please do not just refer to the assessment plan).

SLO 1: 1. Written materials serve as direct measures of this SLO #1. The MACCJ Graduate exit survey provides an indirect measure (student self-assessment) of this SLO. The instructor of record for CCJ 5000 Theory completed the appropriate rubrics based on his assessment of relevant materials, presentations, and examinations. MACCJ rubrics 2, 3, and 5 should have been used (Rubrics 1, 2, 3, and 5 are attached). For reasons unknown to me, he actually used rubrics 1 and 3.

SLO 2: Written materials will serve as direct measures of this SLO #2. The MACCJ Graduate exit survey provides an indirect measure (student self-assessment) of this SLO. The instructor of record for CCJ 5200 Research Methods was supposed to complete the appropriate rubrics based on his assessment of relevant materials, presentations, and examinations but as detailed above that did not happen. MACCJ rubrics 2, 3, and 5 should have used (Rubrics 2, 3, and 5 are attached).

4. Data/Results

What were the results of the assessment of the learning outcome(s)? Please be specific. Does achievement differ by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other off-campus site)?

Students in CCJ 5000	Rubric 1 - Effective Messaging	Rubric 2 - Conducting & Evaluating CCJ Research	Rubric 3 - Applying CCJ Theories to Address Problems in Broader Context
1	4	Not applicable to course	4
2	4	Not applicable to course	3
3	3	Not applicable to course	3
4	4	Not applicable to course	4
5	4	Not applicable to course	3
6	2	Not applicable to course	3
7	3	Not applicable to course	3
8	4	Not applicable to course	4
9	2	Not applicable to course	2
10	2	Not applicable to course	2
11	3	Not applicable to course	3
12	2	Not applicable to course	3

MA CCJ Exit Interview data is attached. N=3 All data is reported. Questions 11 part 1 and 2 are relevant to the SLOs being evaluated here. Look at Survey to determine content of questions referenced as Q 11 etc. All data and all questions are provided for your review.

5. Findings: Interpretations & Conclusions

What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you? Address both a) learning gaps and possible curricular or pedagogical remedies, and b) strengths of curriculum and pedagogy.

As you can see most of our students in the Theory class were assessed to be at the 3 and 4 levels on both assessed rubrics, suggesting advanced abilities in these domains. All students achieved at least 2,

indicating a competent level of proficiency. No students received a 1 or 0 (see rubrics attached as appendices). Thus, Dr. St John assessed them as exceeding our expectations for graduates of our program in terms of effective messaging and applying CCJ Theories to Address Problems in Broader Context

Exit survey data shows the following:

SLO #1 Students will assess relevant criminology literature/scholarly contributions.

Q11-1 - All students were very comfortable with their ability to do so.

SLO #2 Students will apply CCJ theories, practices, policies, or research methodologies.

Q11-2 All students were very comfortable with their ability to do so.

- 6. Closing the Loop: Dissemination and Use of Current Assessment Findings
 - A. When and how did your program faculty share and discuss the results and findings from this cycle of assessment? These results as well as how we can improve on assessment will be discussed at the October 2023 meeting.
 - **B.** How specifically have you decided to use these findings to improve teaching and learning in your program? For example, perhaps you've initiated one or more of the following:

Changes to the Curriculum or Pedagogies

- Course content
- Teaching techniques
- Improvements in technology
- Prerequisites

Student learning outcomes

- Changes to the Assessment Plan
- Artifacts of student learning
- Evaluation process

- Course sequence
- New courses
- · Deletion of courses
- Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings

• Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)

- · Data collection methods
- · Frequency of data collection

Please describe the actions you are taking as a result of these findings.

We are taking no pedagogical actions as a result of these findings given the limitations of this assessment round and the satisfactory performance of our students on the two measured rubrics and the very positive self-assessments. But, we will discuss potentially revising the assessment plan and how we can do better.

If no changes are being made, please explain why.

See above

7. Closing the Loop: Review of Previous Assessment Findings and Changes

A. What is at least one change your program has implemented in recent years as a result of previous assessment data?

We revised our assessment plan in 2020 to focus more on skills-based performance (e.g., effective messaging).

- B. How has the change/have these changes identified in 7A been assessed?

 Students are performing well on the revised assessment suggesting we are affectively training them for the profession. Both professorial and self-assessments suggest SLO #1 is being achieved and the self-assessment supports the conclusion that we are achieving SLO #2 albeit in an indirect way. These data are insufficient to draw conclusions or support changes.
- C. What were the findings of the assessment?

We need a much larger N to draw conclusions but the program seems to be on track.

D. How do you plan to (continue to) use this information moving forward?

We will continue to assess to ensure we are delivering a quality program.

IMPORTANT: Please submit any assessment tools (e.g., artifact prompts, rubrics) with this report as separate attachments or copied and pasted/appended into this Word document. Please do not just refer to the assessment plan; the report should serve as a stand-alone document. Thank you.

MACCJ Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Rubrics

MACCJ Rubric 1 (Effective Messaging)

Graduate	Mile		
	- INTEREST	Milestolles	Benchmark
(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
Demonstrates a masterful	Demonstrates a highly competent	Demonstrates a thorough	Demonstrates consideration of
understanding of context, audience	understanding of context, audience	understanding of context, audience	context audience and number liese
and purpose likes quality relevant			שמוכווכר מוום במו ביסכ. יסכט
מיים לימי ליסיבי ספבי לממוירץ, וכופעמוונ	and purpose, uses appropriate,	and purpose. Uses appropriate and	appropriate, relevant and compelling
and compelling content, including	relevant and compelling content,	relevant content and credible sources	content to explore ideas Consistently
rigorous, credible sources to illustrate	including the use of quality, credible	to illustrate a proficient	uses relevant sources to support
mastery of the subject. Uses graceful	sources to illustrate in-depth	understanding of the subject	ideas and uses close and consist
and concise language that convove	inderstanding of the subject lines		ייים איים שיים מוכם מווע כסונכוסם
Service and and a characteristic And	allacistalianing of the subject. Oses	Demonstrates the use of fluent and	language that conveys meaning to
rileaning to the	graceful and concise language that	concise language that conveys	the reader/viewer/listener
reader/viewer/listener.	conveys meaning to the	meaning to the	
	reader/viewer/listener.	reader/viewer/listener.	

Da 6000

MACCJ Rubric 2 (Conducting/Evaluating CCJ Research)

Graduate	Milestones	tones	Benchmark
(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
Demonstrates a sophisticated	Demonstrates a skillful	Demonstrates a more than basic	Demonstrates a basic understanding
understanding of the relationship	understanding of the relationship	understanding of the relationship	of the relationship between research
between research paradigm and	between research paradigm and	between research paradigm and	paradigm and methodological
methodological choices Makes	methodological choices. Makes	methodological choices. Makes	choices. Makes decisions about
conhisticated decisions about	knowledgeable decisions about	decisions about methods of inquiry	methods of inquiry that address a
methods of inquiry that expertly	methods of inquiry that skillfully	that address a particular research	particular research
address a particular research	address a particular research	purpose/question/hypothesis, with	purpose/question/hypothesis.
purpose/question/hypothesis.	purpose/question/hypothesis.	some skill. Demonstrates a more	Demonstrates a basic understanding
Demonstrates an expert	Demonstrates a knowledgeable	than basic understanding of the	of the appropriate criteria for
understanding of the appropriate	understanding of the appropriate	appropriate criteria for evaluating	evaluating CCJ research. Provides a
criteria for evaluating CCJ research.	criteria for evaluating CCJ research.	CCJ research. Provides a more than	basic explanation of ethics associated
Provides a sophisticated explanation	Provides a knowledgeable	basic explanation of ethics associated	with research practice.
of ethics associated with research	explanation of ethics associated with	with research practice.	
practice.	research practice.		

MACCJ Rubric 3 (Applying CCJ Theories to Address Problems in Broader Context)

Graduate	Miles	Milestones	Benchmark
(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
Gives a sophisticated summarization	Gives a thoughtful summarization of	Gives an adequate summarization of	Gives a summarization of a theory
of a theory that displays a nuanced	a theory that displays an	a theory that displays a basic	with some understanding of how it
understanding of the concepts and	understanding of the concepts and	understanding of the concepts and	relates to research in the field.
assumptions of the theory and its	assumptions of the theory and its	assumptions of the theory and its	Locates and explains relationships
connection to research in the field.	connection to research in the field.	connection to research in the field.	between theory and relevant
Applies a theory to broader contexts	Recognizes implications of theory in a	Chooses appropriate, relevant	examples.
in unique ways that yield new	way that articulates possibilities for	examples to demonstrate a theory's	
knowledge and contributions. Shows	differing contexts and applications of	applicability and explains the	
expert understanding of a theory's	the theory.	relationship between theory and	
implications and limitations and		examples, with more analysis.	
possibilities for expanding or			
enriching the field.			

MACCJ Rubric 5 (Applying Theories/Practices of Social Justice/Civic Engagement)

Graduate	Miles	Milestones	Benchmark
(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
Gives a sophisticated summarization	Gives a thoughtful summarization of	Gives an adequate summarization of	Gives a summarization of social
of social justice and civic engagement	social justice and civic engagement	social justice and civic engagement	justice and civic engagement, with
that displays a nuanced	that displays an understanding of	that displays a basic understanding of	some understanding of how they
understanding of how they relate to	how they relate to CCJ scholarship	how they relate to CCJ scholarship	relate to CCJ scholarship and
CCJ scholarship and practice.	and practice. Recognizes the various	and practice. Chooses appropriate,	practice.
Analyzes both broad and specific CCJ	ways CCJ practices contribute to and	relevant examples to explain social	
practices in unique ways that yield	detract from justice in society, in a	justice and injustice and explains the	
new ways of thinking about just	way that articulates possibilities for	relationship between CCJ and civic	
societies. Shows expert	strategic civic engagement.	engagement.	
understanding of the principles of			
social justice and civic engagement			
and can imagine novel possibilities			
for expanding or enriching the world.			

Question # Q11_5 Q12_1 Q12_2 Q13_1 Q13_2 Q13_3 Q13_4 Q13_5 Q14_1

comfortab comfortab comfortab comfortab Somewhat Very Very good satisfied

Very comfortab comfortab comfortab comfortab comfortab comfortab comfortab comfortab Very Very Very good Very good Good Very good satisfied Good Good Very good satisfied Very Very

I 2 to Mew

Q14_2	Q14_3 Q14_4		Q14_5	Q14_6 Q14_7		Q14_8	Q14_9	Q15	Q16	Q17
								The intro to qualitative		
								analysis course was	Advanced statistical	
								particularly useful as I will analysis would have	l analysis would have	
								be continuing my	been a helpful	
								education and utilizing	course to prepare	
	Very	Very	Very	Very	Very	Very	Very	the information learned	students furthering	
satisfied	satisfied	satisfied	satisfied	satisfied	satisfied		<u>α</u>	in this course.	their education	

Very	Very
satisfied	satisfied
Very	Very
satisfied	satisfied
Very	Very
satisfied	satisfied
Very	Very
satisfied	satisfied
Very	Very
satisfied	satisfied
Very	Very
satisfied	satisfied
Very	Very
satisfied	satisfied
Very	Very
satisfied	satisfied

Very satisfied	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Q18
More than once a semester	More than once a semester	More than once a semester	Q19
Yes	Yes	Yes	Q19_4_TE) Q20_1
Yes	Yes	Yes	Q20_2
Yes	Yes	Yes	Q20_3
Yes	Yes	Yes	Q20_4
Y es	No	Yes	Q20_5
Yes	Yes	Yes	Q20_6
Yes	Yes	Yes	Q20_7
No	No	Yes	Q20_8 Q20_9
			Q20_9
			Q20_9_TE>

Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Q21_1
Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Q21_2
Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Q21_3
Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Q21_4
Scha- was extre helpi Exceeded assis expectatio with	Met expectatio	Exceeded expectatio	Q22
Schafer was extremely helpful in assisting o with marking	Ü	G	Q23
			Q24
			Q25
Very satisfied	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Q26_1
Very satisfied	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Q26_2
Very satisfied	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Q26_3
Neutral	Very satisfied	Very satisfied	Q26_4
Neutral	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Q26_5

Very satisfied	Q26_6
Very satisfied	Q26_7
Very satisfied	Q26_8
Yes	Q27
	QID25
Strongly 3 agree	Q28_1
Strongly	Q28_2
Strongly	Q28_3
	Q29
I am continuing my education/training; I am not seeking employment.	Q30
m Additional graduate studies	Q30_5_TE) QID29

Satisfied		
	Very	
Satisfied		
No		
agree	Strongly	
agree	Strongly	
agree	Strongly	
my MACCJ degree.	employment related to	l am actively seeking

Neutral			
Satisfied			
Neutral			
Yes			
5 judge	o basis to	opinion/n opinion/n	No
judge	o basis to	opinion/n	No
Agree			
my MACCJ degree.	employment related to	I have secured	

Q31 Q31 Q31 Q31 Q32

Brenna Dunlap

bdunlap4 @yahoo.c om

Yes

5.03E+09

grant.uthl aut@slu.e du

Yes

clementin Clementin aojo@gm a Ojo عنامہ

Yes

3.14E+09

MACCJ Exit Survey - Spring 2020

Start of Block: Default Question Block
Thank you for taking the MACCJ Exit Survey. The purpose of this survey is to elicit student feedback regarding their experiences in the MACCJ Program.
We value your opinion and your responses are confidential, so please be open and honest with your responses; your name will not be associated with any of your responses.
If you have questions or concerns, please contact Dr. Joseph Schafer at joseph.schafer@slu.edu.
End of Block: Default Question Block
Start of Block: Demographic Information
Q1 What is your current age?
Q2 What is your gender?
○ Male (1)
○ Female (2)
O Prefer not to answer (3)

Q3 What is your race?
O Black or African American (1)
O Asian/Pacific Islander (2)
○ White/Caucasian (3)
O Multiracial (4)
O American Indian or Alaska Native (5)
O Prefer not to answer (6)
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Q4 What is your ethnicity?
O Hispanic or Latino (1)
O Non Hispanic or Latino (2)
O Prefer not to answer (3)
Q5 What was your concentration in the MACCJ Program?
Administration of Justice (1)
C Emergency Management (2)
Treatment & Rehabilitation (3)

Q6 Were you employed at any time while in the MACCJ Program?
○ Yes (1)
○ No (2)

Q7 Please indicate the number of hours you wo categories, while in the MACCJ Program:	rked	per	wee	k, in	eacl	n of t	he fo	ollow	ring		
categories, write in the MACCO Program.	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60
Employed in a criminology/criminal justice position while in the MACCJ Program () Employed in a non-criminology/criminal justice position while in the MACCJ Program () Employed as a graduate assistant while in the MSCPP Program ()											
Q8 Were you considered a full- or part-time stud C Full-time (1) Part-time (2)	lent i	n th	е МА	.ccJ	l Pro	gran	n?	we we we	w	MAY 000 900	
Q9 What was your commuting distance to SLU's	s can	npus	s (one	e wa	y)?				VAC - VAC - VAC		10. 10.
○ 10-30 Miles (2)											
31-50 Miles (3)											
○ 51-75 Miles (4)											
○ 76-100 Miles (5)											
Over 100 Miles (6)	··· ··· ··· ···			···· ··· ···	ww w w	W & 6			w.	w w w	00 20 5

Q10 Please rank your pr 4 being your least prefer Traditional Form week for 10 weeks in Su Condensed Wee Intercession (7 h Online or Hybrid	red format. (PI at (2.5 hours a mmer) (1) ekend (7 hours ours a day for	ease drag and week for 14 value a day for 5 Sa one week) (3)	d drop you weeks dur aturdays)	r answers in the ring Fall/Spring or	preferred order)
End of Block: Demogra	phic I nfo rmal	ion			
Start of Block: Compete	encies, Curric	ulum, and In	Stru cti on		ANT COLOR
Q11 How comfortable do	you feel abou	t your ability to	o:		
	Very comfortabl e (1)	Somewhat comfortabl e (2)	Neutra I (3)	Somewhat unccomfortabl e (4)	Very uncomfortabl e (5)
Assess relevant CCJ literature/scholarly contributions? (1)			0	\bigcirc	0
Apply CCJ theories, practices, policies, or research methodologies? (2)	0		0	0	0
Apply knowledge from CCJ to address problems in broader context? (3)	0				0
Articulate CCJ explanations/argument s to a disciplinary/profession al audience in both written and oral formats? (4)	0		0		0
Identify scholarly/professional integrity (ethics) in CCJ. (8)	0	0	()	0	0

Q12 Please rate the following items:

	Very good (1)	Good (2)	Fair (3)	Poor (4)	Very poor (5)
The overall quality of the MACCJ course content: (1)	0	C	0	0	0
The overall relevance of the MACCJ course work content to CCJ practice (2)	0		0	0	0

Q13 Please rate your MACCJ professors, overall, in the following areas:

Very good (1)	Good (2)	Fair (3)	Poor (4)	Very poor (5)
0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0
0	0		0	0
0	0	0		0
0	0	()	0	0
			(1) GOOU (2) Fall (3)	

Q14 Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the instruction and courses in the MACCJ Program:

	Very satisfied (1)	Satisfied (2)	Neutral (3)	Disatisfied (4)	Very Dissatisfied (5)
Overall quality of teaching in the MACCJ Program (1)		0	0	0	0
The level of expertise of professors in course subject matter (2)	0	0	0	0	0
The level of safety, respect, and inclusion you felt in the classroom (3)		0	0	0	0
Variety of courses offered in the MACCJ Program (4)		0	0	0	0
Quality of courses offered in the MACCJ Program (5)	0	0	0	0	0
Availability of course offerings in the MACCJ Program (6)	0	\circ	0	0	0
Quality of classroom space (7)	0	0	0	0	0
Level of course- related technology available (8)	0	0	0	0	0

Ability of instructors to effectively use technology in their courses (9)	0	0		0	0
Q15 Please identify MACCJ education.	any electives Why?		ere especially u		98 NV 1984 SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN
					_
					_
Q16 Are there any a or changed?	areas of the MA	ACCJ curriculum	that need to be	added, enhance	ed, removed,
		_			_
					_
Q17 Please describe	e any suggestic	ons you may hav	ve to improve th	e MACCJ Progra	m.
					_

En	d of Block: Competencies, Curriculum, and Instruction
Ste	rt of Block: Mentoring
	8 Overall, how satisfied are you with your relationship with your MACCJ faculty ntor/advisor?
	O Very satisfied (1)
	○ Satisfied (2)
	O Neutral (3)
	O Dissatisfied (4)
	O Very dissatisfied (5)
609 WA	
Q1	9 How often did you typically meet with your faculty mentor/advisor?
	Once a semester (1)
	O More than once a semester (2)
	C Less than once a semester (3)
	Other (please specify): (4)

Q20 For each of the following topics, please indicate whether or not it was discussed during meetings with your MACCJ faculty mentor/advisor:

	Yes (1)	No (2)
Grades/academic performance (1)		0
Satisfaction with classes (2)		0
Scheduling for future semesters (3)	0	\circ
Career goals (4)	0	\circ
Life ambitions (5)	0	\circ
Professional development (6)	0	0
Skills acquired in class (7)	0	0
Personal information (e.g., family, hobbies, etc.) (8)	0	0
Other (please specify): (9)	\circ	\circ

Q21 Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of your academic mentoring/advising in the MACCJ Program:

	Very Satisfied (1)	Satisfied (2)	Neutral (3)	Dissatisfied (4)	Very dissatisfied (5)
Overall quality of academic advising and mentoring. (1)			0		
Ability of your faculty mentor/advisor to help you identify the courses you needed to take in order to graduate. (2)	0	0	0	0	0
Ability of your faculty mentor/advisor to help with career planning and employment/professional opportunities. (3)	0		0	0	0
Responsiveness of your faculty mentor/advisor to questions or concerns you had while in the MACCJ Program. (4)	0	0	0	0	0
Q22 How well did your facul	ons (1)	visor meet you	ur expectatio	ns?	A 1884 1893 1894
Met expectations (2)					
Did not meet expectati Well below expectati	, ,				
MA 3A MO 46 M NO NO MO 3A MO 3A MO 40 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		y		
Q23 Please describe the mo	st useful asp	ect(s) of havir	ng a faculty r	nentor/advisor:	
					_

							_
	· Mr MM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	en har een maar 100 toe een een	** ** ** ** ** ** **	· ··· ••• ···
1 Pleas	se describe the	e least usefu	ıl aspect(s) d	of having a fa	aculty mento	r/advisor:	
							_
					<u> </u>		
							-
······································	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	THE NO WE NO MAD AND A	W Wr 107 107 107 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	No An was No.	*** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** **		
5 Do vo	u have any ac	Iditional com	oments abou	ıt vour mento	oring/advisin	a evnerien	
5 Do yo	u have any acudent?	Iditional com	nments abou	ut your mento	oring/advisin	g experien	 uce as
5 Do yo	u have any adudent?	Iditional com	nments abou	ut your mento	oring/advisin	g experien	 ace as
5 Do yo	u have any acudent?	Iditional com	nments abou	ut your mento	oring/advisin	g experien	ce as
5 Do yo	u have any adudent?	iditional com	nments abou	ut your mento	oring/advisin	g experien	 nce as
5 Do yo	u have any acudent?	ditional com	nments abou	ut your mento	pring/advisin	g experien	 ice as

Start of Block: SLU Generic

Q26 Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following broader aspects of being a student in the MACCJ Program:

	Very satisfied (1)	Satisfied (2)	Neutral (3)	Dissatisfied (4)	Very dissatisfied (5)	Did not use (6)
Quality of School of Social Work admissions processes (1)	0	0			0	0
Quality of communications and information from the MACCJ Program (2)	0	()	0	0	0	0
SLU Library (3)					O	0
SLU Librarian services (4)			0	0		
SLU graduate writing center (5)	0	O	0	0	O	O
SLU career counselor & services (6)				0	0	0
SLU health counseling (7)			0	\bigcirc		
SLU student financial services (8)		0	O	0	0	0
Q27 Did you ever v	risit the Scho	ol of Social W	/ork Google	Site?	un un ann eil	and and any one and any
O No (2)						

8 Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:						
	Strongly agree (1)	Agree (3)	Disagree (5)	Strongly disagree (6)	No opinion/no basis to	
There is a commitment to diversity on SLU's campus. (1)			0	0	judge (8)	
The campus environment is welcoming o students. (2)	0	0	0	0	0	
felt safe on campus. (3)			\circ			
9 Please provi	de any addition	al comments or	n SLU's commitm	nent to diversity o	on campus:	

Start of Block: Post-Graduation

Q30 Which of the following best describes your primary post-graduation plans?
O I have secured employment related to my MACCJ degree. (1)
O I have secured employment not related to my MACCJ degree. (6)
O I am actively seeking employment related to my MACCJ degree. (2)
I am continuing my education/training; I am not seeking employment. (3)
O I am neither seeking employment nor continuing education/training at this time. (4)
Other (please specify: (5)
Display This Question: If Which of the following best describes your primary post-graduation plans? = I am continuing my education/training: I am not seeking employment.
If continuing education/training, which of the following best describes your plans?
Additional graduate studies (1)
C Law school (2)
Law enforcement training academy (3)
C Fellowship/year of service (4)
O Medical school (5)
Q31 Are you willing to talk to prospective MACCJ students in the future?
○ Yes (1)
○ No (3)

w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w
Display This Question: If Are you willing to talk to prospective MACCJ students in the future? = Yes
Q31 What is your name?
Display This Question;
If Are you willing to talk to prospective MACCJ students in the future? = Yes
Q31 Best non-SLU e-mail address to contact you in the future:
*This will be used to contact you regarding exciting program news relevant to our alumni.
Display This Question: If Are you willing to talk to prospective MACCJ students in the future? = Yes
Q31 Best phone number to contact you in the future:
*This will be used to contact you regarding exciting program news relevant to our alumni.

Q32 Any other comments or suggestions about the MACCJ Program?